

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S.SIRI JAGAN

THURSDAY, THE 13TH DECEMBER 2007 / 22ND AGRAHAYANA 1929

WP(C).No. 30748 of 2007(I)

PETITIONER:

SUJESH, AGED 32 YEARS,
S/O BALAKRISHNAN NAIR,
SENIOR LECTURER, DEPARTMENT OF PHARMACEUTICAL
SCIENCES, SCHOOL OF MEDICAL EDUCATION,
M.G. UNIVERSITY, GANDHI NAGAR, KOTTAYAM,
RESIDING AT SARADA SADAN, CHERUPPA P.O.,
CALICUT - 673 661.

BY ADV. SRI.K.S.SAJEEV KUMAR
SRI.LAJI SAM ZACHARIAH

RESPONDENTS:

1. THE STATE OF KERALA, REPRESENTED BY ITS
SECRETARY, DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION, SECRETARIAT,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.
2. THE REGISTRAR, M.G. UNIVERSITY,
OFFICE OF REGISTRAR, P.D. HILLS, KOTTAYAM.
3. THE MAHATHMA GANDHI UNIVERSITY,
REGIONAL INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCE AND
RESEARCH, RUBBER BOARD P.O., KOTTAYAM.
4. THE PRINCIPAL,
DEPARTMENT OF PHARMASEUITICAL SCIENCES,
MAHATHMA GANDHI UNIVERSITY, RUBBER BOARD P.O.,
KOTTAYAM -9.

BY ADV. SRI. T.A. SHAJI, SC, M.G.UNIVERSITY
SENIOR GOVERNMENT PLEADER, SMT.M.R.SREELATHA

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD
ON 13/12/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:

APPENDIX

PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS:

- EXT. P1: COPY OF THE LETTER DATED 17.04.2006 ISSUED BY THE 4TH RESPONDENT TO THE 2ND RESPONDENT.
- EXT. P2: COPY OF THE LETTER DATED 31.08.2007 SUBMITTED BY THE PETITIONER BEFORE THE 2ND RESPONDENT.
- EXT. P3: COPY OF THE APPLICATION DATED 05.09.2007 SUBMITTED BY THE PETITIONER BEFORE THE 2ND RESPONDENT.
- EXT. P4: COPY OF THE LETTER DATED 10.09.2007 SUBMITTED BY THE PETITIONER BEFORE THE 2ND RESPONDENT.

/TRUE COPY/

P.A. TO JUDGE

JP

S.SIRI JAGAN, J.

=====

W.P.(C) No.30748 of 2007

=====

Dated this the 13th day of December, 2007

JUDGMENT

In this writ petition, the petitioner's grievance is that petitioner's application for leave and no objection to join a foreign employment has not been duly considered by the 2nd respondent. The petitioner seeks a direction to the 2nd respondent to consider Exts. P3 and P4 in this regard. The learned standing counsel for the University submits that the petitioner is not entitled to the leave applied for, since he is occupying only a temporary post. It is a matter which the 2nd respondent has to consider while disposing of the Exts. P3 and P4, and I am not expressing any opinion on that now.

2. In the facts and circumstances of the case, there would be a direction to the 2nd respondent to consider and pass appropriate orders on Exts. P3 and P4 as expeditiously as

possible at any rate, within one month, from the date of receipt of a copy of the judgment. The order shall also be communicated to the petitioner.

The writ petition is disposed of as above.

**S.SIRI JAGAN,
JUDGE**

jp